

# Integrated Predictive Modelling to Improve Pathology Laboratory Quality

Alice Richardson, NCEPH

ViCBiostat, 18 October 2017



# Overview

- NATA and QAP
- Our study
- Data
- Results
- Conclusions
- The future



# NATA

- Audit report
- Involves site visit and physical inspection
- Up to 30 assessments against ISO 15189 clauses
- Technical and Management

			(with reference to supporting documentation)	olose-o
	M2	The laboratory must regularly review estimates of measurement uncertainty (MU).		
		Policy SOP-QUAL-SOP0025-3 states that "the Divisional Director/Unit Supervisor must ensure MU estimations are reviewed with a focus on the rigour required and clinical significance. Where further actions are required, these are to be either in the notes section of the database, as BIRSs or other means".		
		Fifteen Architect assays had MU data indicating the assay was not fit for purpose, however, acceptable performance was achieved at other sites, MU had not been reviewed and no corrective action was documented.	2	
5.5.2	0	It is recommended that all staff have access to the LOL database for biological reference intervals.		
		Currently, this is only available to pathologists.		
		It was noted that reference interval harmonisation across XXXXXXXXXI is complete, but reference interval review is ongoing since the previous assessment.		
5.6		Ensuring quality of examination results		
5.6.1 Ref: FAD	С	The laboratory must have a system of long-term review of internal QC to assess method performance and identify trends.		

Accreditation No. xxxx / Corp Site No. xxxxx

Action taken by laboratory

NATA

NATA/RCPA Report

Clause No. Code Assessment Findings

Report on Assessment (ISO 15189:2012) (NEW STD) (MT6.2.1)/Issue 20/October 2014

There was no evidence of long-term review of internal QC in 2014 for haemostasis testing.

Page 7 of 9



- O = observation
- M1 = minor Must be addressed to maintain
- M2 = major
- accreditation, but not urgent
- C = condition could lose accreditation!



#### **RCPAQAP External Quality Assurance**



- Mock samples sent by the RCPA
- Arms length process unlike NATA
- 16 cycles annually
- Individual assay accuracy is the aim



# Our study

- 21 laboratories
  - 10 B: larger, full-time pathologist present
  - 11 G: smaller, supervised by B
  - Selected by linked data availability
- 16 cycles of EQA data on 20 analytes
- True value of analyte NOT given, use cycle sample mean for now



#### **Research questions**

- What does a systematic review of literature reveal about the relationship between analytes and laboratory quality?
- What is the distribution of O/M<sub>1</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>/C amongst laboratories of different types?
- Can analyte data be used to predict quality (operationalised by the number or proportion of M<sub>1</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>/C)?



# Text mining

- MeSH terms: EQA, external quality assurance, ISO 15189, 15189, proficiency testing, pathology laboratory performance
- 144 articles (1992 2016)
- 37 out of scope, 6 no full text
- Analyse 101 articles
- R libraries tm, libsnowballC, wordcloud, cluster



sffed instrument term physician signific common generation accept applic depend situat comparison compon phowevhigh result compet valid observ relev acta iso initi find firstpublish general and taken mani conduct particular determin failur address articl also line support determin failur focus design meet import list continue care administr model user variable effici imay detail set contribut ensur procedur public address articl also line support determin failur frequenc appropriverifi consid colleg impact step type addit direct effici may detail set contribut ensur procedur public address articl also line support with consid colleg impact step type addit direct effici may detail set contribut ensur procedur states frequenc appropriverifi consid colleg impact step type addit direct effici may detail set contribut ensur procedur states frequenc appropriverifi consid colleg impact step type addit direct effici may detail set contribut ensur procedur states frequenc appropriverifi consid colleg impact step type addit direct efficience essention four identifit for the set and the set and diagnost to four identifit for the set and th profici year DIAS technolog serum

part contain particip Zorder part contain particip order procedur measur level direct correct valid need publish presentguidelin result within lead association associations result within lead association associations result within lead design represent couracion emistri associations association associations associations association associations associations associations associations associations and commoniarg first recent peoper one will association and associations and associations and commoniarg first recent peoper one will association associations and associations and allow although these can be assess operfor reason develop chang initiate assure three to now allow practicauther chem investig email valudocument detect addit identifi problem medicin applications issue applications competer refer research reliability associations applications applications associations applications applications associations and associations applications associations applications applications associations and four center sever make procedur 💈

Interpretation in progress …



### Distribution of M and C

- Linear model
  - Outcome:
  - sum of M<sub>1</sub> + M<sub>2</sub> + C
  - Predictors:
  - type of clause (management or technical)
  - Type of lab (B or G)



 Significant differences between **Technical and** Management but not between B and G or Minor/Major and Condition





### Predictive modelling

- Random forest
- Outcomes from NATA: (1) above or below median M count (2) above or below median C count
- Predictors: from QAP, % Bias for 20 analytes

• 
$$Bias(\%) = 100 \frac{x_{ij} - \overline{x_i}}{\overline{x_i}}$$

- $x_i$  = assay value at time point i, lab j
- $\overline{x_i}$  = EQA assay value at time point i



- Absolute % Bias
- Mean ±2 SEM
- 21 labs and 16 time points combined
- liver function tests, serum electrolytes and creatinine, and creatinine kinase (CK)





- Absolute % Bias
- Mean ±2 SEM
- 10 B labs
- 11 G labs
- liver function tests, serum electrolytes and creatinine, and creatinine kinase (CK)





- QAP results predict minor lab infractions from NATA inspections
- OOB estimate of error rate: 14.29%

	L	Н	error
L	9	1	0.1
Н	2	9	0.18

#### EQA.Percent\_NATA.Cats.rf





#### Investigating GGT variation





# Explaining GGT variation

- Serum K+ bias a strong predictor
- Total M score significant
- Lab category (B or G) not significant

Source	Df	F	P-value
Lab category	1	2.5	0.134
Bicarbonate Bias	1	1.1	0.310
K+ Bias	1	27.27	< 0.001
Total M count	1	8.23	0.011
Error	16		



# Future work

- Troponin turnaround time
- 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of troponin in multiple populations





# Acknowledgements

- QUPP of the DoH
- NATA
- RCPAQAP



#### Australian Government

Department of Health





